

**MATH 512, FALL 14 COMBINATORIAL SET THEORY
WEEK 1**

Let κ be a regular (i.e. $\text{cf}(\kappa) = \kappa$) uncountable cardinal.

Definition 1. A set $C \subset \kappa$ is closed and unbounded (club) in κ if

- for all $\alpha < \kappa$, there is $\beta \in C \setminus \alpha$, and
- for all increasing sequences $\langle \alpha_i \mid i < \tau \rangle$ of ordinals in C for some $\tau < \kappa$, $\sup_{i < \tau} \alpha_i \in C$.

Some examples: $\kappa \setminus \alpha$, for all $\alpha < \kappa$; the set of limit ordinals less than κ .

Lemma 2. Suppose that C, D are clubs in κ . Then so is $C \cap D$.

Proof. To show closure, suppose that $\tau < \kappa$ and $\langle \alpha_i \mid i < \tau \rangle$ is an increasing sequence of ordinals in $C \cap D$, and let $\alpha = \sup_{\xi < \tau} \alpha_\xi$. Since C is closed, we have that $\alpha \in C$. Since D is closed, we have that $\alpha \in D$.

To show unboundedness, fix $\alpha < \kappa$. Let $\alpha_0 > \alpha$ be a point in C . Then let $\beta_0 > \alpha_0$ be a point in D . Continue inductively, to build increasing sequences $\langle \alpha_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$ of points in C and $\langle \beta_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$ of points in D , such that for all n , $\alpha_n < \beta_n < \alpha_{n+1}$. Then $\beta := \sup_n \alpha_n = \sup_n \beta_n \in C \cap D$, and $\beta > \alpha$. \square

Definition 3. A set $S \subset \kappa$ is stationary if for all clubs C , $S \cap C \neq \emptyset$

Some examples: every club set, $E_\omega^\kappa := \{\alpha < \kappa \mid \text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega\}$. Also note that if S is stationary and C is a club, then $S \cap C$ is stationary.

Definition 4. Let $\langle A_\xi \mid \xi < \kappa \rangle$ be subsets of κ . The diagonal intersection is defined to be $\Delta_{\xi < \kappa} A_\xi := \{\beta < \kappa \mid \beta \in \bigcap_{\xi < \beta} A_\xi\}$. A filter is called **normal** if it is closed under diagonal intersections.

Proposition 5.

- (1) If $\langle C_\xi \mid \xi < \tau \rangle$ are clubs in κ for some $\tau < \kappa$, then $\bigcap_{\xi < \tau} C_\xi$ is also a club.
- (2) If $\langle C_\xi \mid \xi < \kappa \rangle$ are clubs in κ , then $\Delta_{\xi < \tau} C_\xi$ is a club.

The **club filter** on κ is the collection of all subsets of κ containing a club. It follows by the above that the club filter is a normal κ -complete filter on κ , and it contains all complements of bounded sets.

Theorem 6. (Fodor) Suppose that $S \subset \kappa$ is stationary and $f : S \rightarrow \kappa$ is a **regressive function**, i.e. $f(\alpha) < \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in S$. Then there is a stationary $T \subset S$, such that f is constant on T .

Proof. Otherwise, for all $\gamma < \kappa$, $f^{-1}(\gamma)$ is nonstationary, i.e. there is a club C_γ with $C_\gamma \cap f^{-1}(\gamma) = \emptyset$. Let $C = \Delta_{\gamma < \kappa} C_\gamma$, and let $\alpha \in C \cap S$. Set

$\gamma := f(\alpha)$. Since f is regressive, $\gamma < \alpha$, and so by the definition of diagonal intersection, $\alpha \in C_\gamma$. But $\alpha \in f^{-1}(\gamma)$. Contradiction. \square

The conclusion of this theorem is actually a necessary and sufficient condition for normality. An application of Fodor's theorem is the following fact:

Fact (Solovay): Every stationary subset S of κ can be partitioned into κ many disjoint stationary subsets. (for the proof, see Chapter 8 of Jech)

Definition 7. A cardinal κ is inaccessible if it is regular and strong limit (i.e. $\tau < \kappa \rightarrow 2^\tau < \kappa$).

Proposition 8. Suppose κ is inaccessible. Then the set of cardinals below κ is club.

Definition 9. An inaccessible cardinal κ is Mahlo if the set of regular cardinals below κ is stationary.

So far we have defined clubs and stationary subsets of a cardinal. For an ordinal α , $c \subset \alpha$ is a club in α if it is closed and unbounded in α , and $s \subset \alpha$ is stationary in α if it meets every club in α .

For a set $B \subset \beta$, $\lim(B)$ will denote the limit points of B , i.e. $\lim(B) := \{\alpha < \beta \mid B \cap \alpha \text{ is unbounded}\}$. Note that if B is unbounded, then $\lim(B)$ is a club. Also, for any club C , $\lim(C) \subset C$.

Definition 10. Let $S \subset \kappa$ be stationary. S reflects if for some $\alpha < \kappa$, $S \cap \alpha$ is stationary in α .

Definition 11. For a stationary set T , $\text{Refl}(T)$ denotes the statement that every stationary subset of T reflects.

For example, for any uncountable regular κ , E_ω^κ reflects.

Proposition 12. Let κ be any cardinal (possibly singular), and let T be a stationary subset of $E_\kappa^{\kappa^+}$. Then T does not reflect.

Proof. Let $\alpha < \kappa^+$ be any point. Let $C \subset \alpha$ be club in α with $\text{o.t.}(C) = \text{cf}(\alpha) \leq \kappa$. Then $\lim(C)$ is a club subset of α , disjoint from T . \square

Definition 13. \square_κ asserts the existence of a sequence $\langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa^+ \rangle$, such that for every α ,

- C_α is club in α with $\text{o.t.}(C_\alpha) \leq \kappa$;
- if $\beta \in \lim(C_\alpha)$, then $C_\alpha \cap \beta = C_\beta$.

Lemma 14. \square_κ implies $\neg \text{Refl}(S)$ for every stationary $S \subset \kappa^+$.

Proof. Suppose that $\langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa^+ \rangle$ is a square sequence and S is a stationary subset of κ^+ . Let $F(\alpha) := \text{o.t.}(C_\alpha)$. By Fodor, there is a stationary subset $T \subset S$, such that F is constant on T . I.e. for some δ , for all $\alpha \in T$, $\text{o.t.}(C_\alpha) = \delta$. We claim that T does not reflect. For otherwise, if $T \cap \alpha$ is

stationary in α , then $T \cap \lim(C_\alpha)$ is also stationary in α . Let $\beta < \gamma$ be two points in $T \cap \lim(C_\alpha)$. Then since $C_\alpha \cap \gamma = C_\gamma$, we have that $\beta \in \lim(C_\gamma)$, and so $C_\gamma \cap \beta = C_\beta$. It follows that $F(\beta) = o.t.(C_\beta) < o.t.(C_\gamma) = F(\gamma)$. Contradiction with $\beta, \gamma \in T$. \square

Next we give some weakenings of square:

Definition 15. $\square_{\kappa, \lambda}$ asserts the existence of a sequence $\langle \mathcal{C}_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa^+ \rangle$, such that for every α ,

- $1 \leq |\mathcal{C}_\alpha| \leq \lambda$,
- for every α , for every $C \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$, C is club in α with $o.t.(C) \leq \kappa$;
- for every α , for every $C \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$, for every $\beta \in \lim(C)$, we have $C \cap \beta \in \mathcal{C}_\beta$.

The principle **weak square** is $\square_\kappa^* := \square_{\kappa, \kappa}$. We have that for any $\lambda < \kappa$, $\square_\kappa \rightarrow \square_{\kappa, \lambda} \rightarrow \square_\kappa^*$.

Lemma 16. Suppose that $\kappa^{<\kappa} = \kappa$. Then \square_κ^* holds.

Proof. For every limit $\alpha < \kappa^+$ with $\text{cf}(\alpha) < \kappa$, let $\mathcal{C}_\alpha := \{C \subset \alpha \mid C \text{ is a club, } |C| < \kappa\}$, i.e. all club subsets of α of size less than κ . Since $\kappa^{<\kappa} = \kappa$, we have that $|\mathcal{C}_\alpha| = \kappa$.

If κ is regular, for every limit $\alpha < \kappa^+$ with $\text{cf}(\alpha) = \kappa$, let C_α be any club in α of order type κ . Set $\mathcal{C}_\alpha = \{C_\alpha\}$.

Suppose that $C \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ and $\beta \in \lim(C)$. Then since $|C| \leq \kappa$, we have that $\text{cf}(\beta) < \kappa$ and $C \cap \beta$ is a club subset of β of size less than κ . So, by definition, $C \cap \beta \in \mathcal{C}_\beta$. \square

Note that the above implies that weak square holds for all inaccessible κ . Also, under GCH, weak square will hold for every regular κ . So, we will be most interested in \square_κ^* when κ is singular.

In general square principles are a ‘‘incompactness’’ type properties: a property that a structure lacks, but all of its substructures of smaller cardinality have. This is exemplified in the following lemma:

Lemma 17. Suppose that $\langle \mathcal{C}_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa^+ \rangle$ is a $\square_{\kappa, \lambda}$ sequence, for some $1 \leq \lambda \leq \kappa$. Then there is no club $C \subset \kappa^+$, such that for all $\alpha \in \lim(C)$, $C \cap \alpha \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$.

Proof. If C is a club in κ^+ , let $\alpha \in \lim(C)$ be such that $o.t.(C \cap \alpha) > \kappa$. We can always find such an α , since $o.t.(C) = \kappa^+$. But for every $E \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$, $o.t.(E) \leq \kappa$, so $C \cap \alpha \notin \mathcal{C}_\alpha$. \square

Definition 18. A **tree** $(T, <)$ is a partially ordered set, such that for every $x \in T$, the set of predecessors of x , $\text{pred}(x) := \{y \in T \mid y < x\}$ is well ordered by $<$. We set:

- for $x \in T$, $\text{level}(x) := o.t.(\text{pred}(x))$,

- the height of the tree, $ht(T) := \sup\{\text{level}(x) + 1 \mid x \in T\}$,
- for $\alpha < ht(T)$, the α -th level of T is $T_\alpha := \{x \in T \mid \text{level}(x) = \alpha\}$.

We also say that $b \subset T$ is a **branch**, if b is a maximal linearly ordered subset of T .

Note that if b is a branch, then for every level α , $|b \cap T_\alpha| \leq 1$, and if $\beta < \alpha$, then by maximality $b \cap T_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ implies that $b \cap T_\beta \neq \emptyset$. We say that b is unbounded (or cofinal) if for all $\alpha < ht(T)$, $b \cap T_\alpha \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 19. The **tree property** holds at κ , for a regular cardinal κ , if every tree of height κ and levels of size less than κ , has an unbounded branch. We denote this by TP_κ .

Below we list some facts about the tree property:

- (1) (König) TP holds at ω .
- (2) (Aronszajn) TP fails at ω_1 .
- (3) TP can hold at ω_2 (and above), assuming some large cardinals.
- (4) \square_κ^* implies that the tree property fails at κ^+ .

Definition 20. An inaccessible cardinal κ is weakly compact if it satisfies the tree property.

There are several equivalent definitions of a weakly compact. We list two of them for completeness:

- (1) κ is weakly compact iff κ is inaccessible and $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\omega}$ satisfies the Weak Compactness Theorem.
Here the language $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\omega}$ contains conjunctions and disjunctions of size less than κ . It satisfies the weak Compactness Theorem if for every set of sentences $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\omega}$ with $|\Sigma| \leq \kappa$, if every $S \subset \Sigma$ with $|S| < \kappa$ has a model, then Σ has a model.
- (2) κ is weakly compact iff every function $F : [\kappa]^2 \rightarrow 2$, there is a set $H \subset \kappa$ of size κ such that F is constant on H . Such a set is called *homogeneous*.

It turns out that TP at ω_2 is equiconsistent with the existence of a weakly compact cardinal. More precisely:

Theorem 21. (Mitchell) If κ is weakly compact, then there is a forcing extension, in which κ is \aleph_2 , and the tree property holds at \aleph_2 .

Theorem 22. (Silver) \aleph_2 has the tree property, then \aleph_2 is weakly compact in L .

Later in the course we will go over the proof of Mitchell's theorem. hat measurable cardinals are weakly compact.